



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,380	10/07/2003	Bong-Joo Kim	45745	4254
75	90 08/18/2005		EXAM	INER
Christian C. Michel			TUPPER, ROBERT S	
Roylance, Abra	ms, Berdo & Goodman, I	L.L.P.	<u></u>	
Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1300 19th Street, N.W.			2652	
Washington, DC 20036			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/679,380	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert S. Tupper	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc	ctober 2003.					
,						
	•					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-10 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange Park of the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1:85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHOI (5,892,575).

Shows a pole base driving assembly having a linking member (72)Note figures 2 and 6-8. CHOI shows a VCR with pole base assemblies (52), a loading gear (70), and elastic member (80) and link member (72) connected there between. The elastic member has a plurality of integral protrusions (82), and has a concave shape when bent (see figure 8)(re claims 12 and 13). The loading gear is fixedly attached (i.e. integral with) to the linking member (re claim 14).

CHOI differs in that the elastic member is attached between the pole base and the linking member (rather than between the loading gear and linking member).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the system of CHOI to have the elastic member attached between the loading gear and the linking member. The motivation is as follows: these are art recognized equivalents that operate in the same manner and produce the same results without any unexpected results.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,380

Art Unit: 2652

- 3. Claims 2-10 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Concerning claims 2-10, the prior art does not teach or suggest a pole base assembly driving device having a pole base assembly, a loading gear rotated by a driving force, an elastic rod, a linking member, where the elastic rod connects the loading gear and the linking member, and the linking member connects the elastic member and the pole base assembly, and a deformation restricting means as disclosed and shown in figures 4-7.

Concerning claim 15, the prior art does not teach or suggest a pole base assembly driving device having a pole base assembly, a loading gear rotated by a driving force, an elastic rod with a plurality of protrusions on its side, a linking member, where the elastic rod connects the loading gear and the linking member, and the linking member connects the elastic member and the pole base assembly, and an iron core disposed in the elastic rod along the length direction, wherein the elastic rod is concave when bent by the rotation of the loading gear.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 2652

7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst